



## SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

**SUMMARY OF CHANGES**

The following have been added by full text:

**W912DS-05-B-0012****Amendment No.3**

Bid opening date, originally scheduled for 21 June 2005 at 2:00 P.M. is hereby changed to 28 June 2005 at 3:00 P.M., local time

**SPECIFICATIONS:**

**The following questions and answers are provided for information only. Nothing contained below amends or revises any provision of the solicitation**

**Questions and Answers**

The New York District has received questions about the contract

Q: There are considerable additional mobilization costs associated with the alternate disposal methods required by Optional Line Items 0004, 0005, 0006 and 0007. In fact, the Floyd Bennett Field site, Optional Line Item 0006, may require a smaller hopper dredge to transit Rockaway Inlet. We request that a Line Item be added to each of these Options to accommodate the additional mobilization and demobilization cost for setting up these disposal areas.

A; Two Additional Mobilization and Demobilization were added one for Optional Line Items 0006 (Floyd Bennett Field site) and the other will be for Optional Line Items 0004, 0005,

Q: We are concerned about the fact the Corps did not assign Line Items for the Mobilization and Demobilization for the alternate disposal methods required by Optional Line Items 0004, 0005, 0006 and 0007. As expressed in our previous correspondence, the Floyd Bennett Field site, Optional Line Item 0006, may require the mobilization of a smaller hopper dredge in order to transit Rockaway Inlet. Each of these Disposal Areas require considerable set up cost and in order for the Corps to protect itself from the Contractor over-earning the mobilization costs, or conversely under-earning these cost due to a variation of the actual quantity delivered, a separate Mob and Demob Line Items should be added for these Optional Bid Items.

A; Two Additional Mobilization and Demobilization were added one for Optional Line Items 0006 (Floyd Bennett Field site) and the other will be for Optional Line Items 0004, 0005,

Q: Contract Section Article 01135, Article 1.3 establishes the Emission Limits at 94 for 2005, 180 for 2006, and 109 for 2007. However, this job will not be bid until June 14, 2005 at the earliest. Standard Form 1442 of the Contract allows the Corps 120 days to award the project. We understand the Corps plans to make a rapid award pending the Awardable Contractor submitting the Responsibility Submissions required by Contract Section 0800, Article 1.45; however the approval of these submission and other extraneous factors (i.e., potential bid protests) may delay the start of the project. Even with a rapid award the dredging is not likely to start until August. It is extremely unlikely that the contractor will reach the 94 Tons of NOx Emissions established for 2005. Please clarify that the unused emissions from year 2005 can be rolled over into the allowable emissions for 2006.

A: The State and Federal Regulatory Agencies do not allow any roll over for unused emissions.

Q: We have reviewed the grain size distribution curves posted on the website listed in Amendment 0001. We are still requesting the Vibracore penetration logs (indicating the penetration rates) for the borings provided in the Contract Documents. This data is not posted on the referenced website.

A: USACE does not have the penetration rates and did not record the rates during testing

Q: Contract Section 01135 references the Air Emissions Calculator. This calculator provides a “drop down” menu for NOx reduction methods that enables the contractor to list “other” as a type of NOx reduction. However the calculator does not allow the user to input the NOx reduction percentage for “other” proposed NOx reduction methods. The user receives an error message indicating the cell is “write protected”. We request guidance on this matter.

A: A revised calculator will be prepared that will allow the entry of a control efficiency.

Q. We request the Corps provide the list of certified independent air emissions consultants (AEC) that we understand are on file in the District. Please provide a list of Corps approved Independent Air Emissions Consultants.

A. The following list is of companies that may be helpful to bidders. This list may not be all-inclusive. By providing this list the Government makes no representation as to acceptability of the firm or of their work product nor should the list be construed as endorsing any of the firms. This list is provided for informational use only. Contractors are free to use any firm it chooses which meets our requirements.

Clean Air Engineering Services ( Palantine, IL)  
Engine, Fuel and Emissions Engineering (California)  
M.J. Bradley and Associates (Massachusetts)  
LFR Levine-Fricke Inc/Air Recon (New Jersey)  
URS Greiner (California)  
Heaney, Edelstein and Co. (HECO) (New Hampshire)  
Combustion Engineering Labs (Florida)  
Clean Air Technologies International (New York)  
McCullough Engineering (Texas)  
Southwest Research Institute (Texas)  
Lawler, Matusky & Skelly Engineers LLP (New York)  
Weston Solutions (Pennsylvania)  
Tetra Tech (California)  
Baker Engineering  
Hart Crowser  
Shaw E & I (Tennessee)

#### SECTION 00010 - SOLICITATION CONTRACT FORM

The required response date/time has changed from 21-Jun-2005 02:00 PM to 28-Jun-2005 02:00 PM.

(End of Summary of Changes)